

# Arabic as an Epistemological Foundation of Islamic Education and Qur'anic Exegesis

Muhammad Fariq Heemal Attruk\*, Budi Satriadi, Nisak UI Mujahidah

International Islamic University Malaysia, Malaysia

\* [fariqhemal@gmail.com](mailto:fariqhemal@gmail.com) (Primary Contact)

---

## ABSTRACT

This article examines Arabic as an epistemological foundation of Islamic education and Qur'anic exegesis. Moving beyond instrumental and symbolic conceptions of language, it argues that Arabic functions as an epistemological medium through which Islamic knowledge is constructed, Qur'anic meaning is produced, and interpretive authority and validity are established. Employing a conceptual integrative literature review, the study synthesizes scholarship from Arabic linguistics, Qur'anic studies, and Islamic education to demonstrate that meaning, authority, and epistemic legitimacy are linguistically mediated through Arabic semantic, syntactic, rhetorical, and phonetic structures. The analysis further highlights the epistemic consequences of detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic competence. While translation and multilingual pedagogical practices broaden access to religious knowledge, they necessarily involve interpretive transformation rather than semantic equivalence, with implications for depth of understanding, interpretive plurality, and epistemic accountability. Drawing on illustrative insights from non-Arabophone Muslim societies, the article clarifies how linguistic mediation becomes particularly visible in multilingual educational and interpretive contexts. By reframing Arabic from an auxiliary component to an epistemological foundation, this study contributes to contemporary debates on language, interpretation, and education in Islamic studies and offers a conceptual framework for critically evaluating pedagogical and interpretive practices within an increasingly mediated religious landscape.

### Keywords

Arabic language; epistemology; Islamic education; qur'anic exegesis; linguistic authority; meaning construction

### Article History

Received: 2026-01-08  
Accepted: 2026-03-12

---

Copyright © 2026, Attruk et al.  
Published by MAN 4 Kota Pekanbaru  
DOI: [10.56113/takuana.v4i4.328](https://doi.org/10.56113/takuana.v4i4.328)

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Language occupies a central position in the construction of religious knowledge. In many intellectual traditions, language functions not merely as a medium of communication but as a framework through which meaning, authority, and legitimacy are negotiated (Bernikova & Redkin, 2017; Salvaggio, 2024). In Islamic studies, however, discussions of language have often been confined to instrumental or symbolic roles, either as a vehicle for transmitting religious content or as a marker of sacred identity, while its epistemological function has

received comparatively less sustained and systematic attention (Bernikova & Redkin, 2017).

This limitation is particularly striking given that the foundational sources of Islam, most notably the Qur'an, are inseparably bound to Arabic. Classical Islamic scholarship developed a wide range of linguistic disciplines, such as grammar (*nahw*), rhetoric (*balāghah*), semantics (*dalālah*), and phonetics (*ṣawtiyyāt*), as essential tools for engaging revelation and safeguarding meaning (Abdullah, 2022; Hasan, 2023; Osman, 2016). These traditions reflect an early recognition that meaning is accessed through language rather than independently of it (Salvaggio, 2024). Despite this legacy, contemporary discussions of Islamic education and Qur'anic interpretation increasingly treat Arabic as auxiliary: valuable for access and transmission, yet not essential as an epistemological foundation (Akhirudin et al., 2025).

Recent scholarship has examined Arabic learning, Qur'anic interpretation, and Islamic education from pedagogical, sociological, and historical perspectives. Empirical studies demonstrate that Arabic learning intersects with motivation, identity formation, and religious understanding in diverse educational settings, including multicultural and multi-religious contexts (Ritonga et al., 2020; Sopian et al., 2025; Nasution et al., 2025). While such studies provide important insights, they often approach language as a supporting variable rather than as a constitutive condition of knowledge production. Consequently, the question of how Arabic shapes the processes of knowing, interpreting, and validating Islamic knowledge remains underexplored (Jreis Navarro, 2020).

At the level of Qur'anic studies, debates surrounding interpretation further illustrate this gap. Linguistic analyses have shown that Qur'anic meaning emerges through complex mechanisms involving semantics, syntax, rhetoric, and sound patterns, rather than through lexical content alone (Hassanein, 2023; Al-Shloul et al., 2025; Nawaya et al., 2024). Interpretive validity is frequently determined by contextual and semantic considerations that may override formal grammatical expectations, indicating that grammatical rules function as interpretive tools rather than rigid constraints (Awda & Alani, 2025).

Similar tensions are evident in contemporary Islamic education. Research indicates that Arabic linguistic schemata-mediated comprehension significantly influences understanding and memorization of the Qur'an (Hussin et al., 2021). At the same time, increasing reliance on translation and institutional mediation raises questions about interpretive authority and the transformation of meaning across linguistic boundaries (Musaffa, 2025). Philosophical and critical discussions of Islamic education further suggest that epistemological debates often proceed without sufficient attention to the linguistic conditions under which religious knowledge is formed (Razaq & Umiarso, 2019; Zayed & al-Mizan, 2014; Lombard, 2025). These developments indicate that the issue at stake is not merely pedagogical effectiveness, but the epistemic consequences of detaching Islamic education from its linguistic foundations (Akhirudin et al., 2025).

Moving beyond instrumental and symbolic conceptions of language, this study argues that Arabic constitutes an epistemological foundation of Islamic knowledge, operating as the linguistic medium through which meaning is constructed and interpreted rather than merely a neutral vehicle of transmission. This shift entails significant epistemological consequences: meaning is not merely conveyed through Arabic but produced by it; interpretive authority is grounded in linguistic competence rather than institutional

mediation alone; and detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic structures risks epistemic reduction rather than pedagogical simplification.

Against this backdrop, this article argues that Arabic serves as an epistemological foundation for Islamic education and Qur'anic exegesis rather than merely a technical or symbolic component. It contends that Arabic operates as an epistemological medium that shapes the construction of Islamic knowledge, the production of meaning in Qur'anic interpretation, and the validation of interpretive authority. Although the argument developed in this study is not geographically bounded, references to non-Arabophone Muslim societies are employed illustratively to clarify how linguistic mediation becomes particularly visible in multilingual contexts (Bernikova & Redkin, 2017; Musaffa, 2025). Such references do not delimit the scope of the study but serve to illuminate broader epistemological patterns relevant to contemporary Islamic education and Qur'anic interpretation. Accordingly, this article is guided by three research questions: (1) How does Arabic function as an epistemological medium in the construction of Islamic knowledge? (2) In what ways does the Arabic language shape meaning, authority, and validity in Qur'anic exegesis? (3) What are the epistemic implications of detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic competence?

## **2. METHOD**

This study employs a conceptual integrative literature review to examine Arabic as an epistemological foundation of Islamic education and Qur'anic exegesis. Methodologically, the study adopts an interpretive and philosophical approach to develop a theoretical synthesis of scholarship on language, knowledge, and interpretation within Islamic studies. This methodological approach is appropriate for studies that aim to develop conceptual clarity and theoretical synthesis rather than to aggregate empirical findings or conduct statistical analysis. Integrative literature reviews allow diverse forms of scholarship (conceptual, theoretical, historical, and selected empirical studies) to be examined within a unified analytical framework, enabling the development of new perspectives through synthesis rather than comparison (Torraco, 2005; Snyder, 2019).

### **2.1. Data Source and Search Strategy**

The literature analyzed in this study was drawn exclusively from the Scopus database, selected for its rigorous indexing standards, curated journal coverage, and established credibility as a comprehensive bibliographic source for peer-reviewed research (Mongeon & Paul-Hus, 2016). Comparative analyses of academic search engines further indicate that Scopus provides more selective and structured coverage than open platforms such as Google Scholar, making it particularly suitable for conceptual and epistemological reviews (Gusenbauer, 2019).

The literature search was conducted using English-language keywords and Boolean operators aligned with the study's focus. Core search terms included combinations of "Arabic language", "Arabic linguistics", "epistemology", "Islamic education", "Islamic knowledge", "Qur'anic exegesis", "Qur'an interpretation", and "tafsir". These search strings were refined iteratively to ensure conceptual relevance while maintaining sufficient coverage of the relevant literature.

## 2.2. Selection and Screening Criteria

The selection process followed a criterion-based screening approach that prioritized epistemic relevance over exhaustive inclusion. Articles were included if they met the following criteria: (1) explicit or implicit engagement with Arabic language, Qur'anic interpretation, or Islamic education; (2) relevance to issues of meaning, interpretation, authority, or knowledge construction; and (3) clear contribution to understanding the epistemological role of language in Islamic studies. No strict publication-year limitation was imposed, as epistemological contribution was prioritized over temporal recency. Articles published in English and Arabic were included to reflect the multilingual nature of contemporary Islamic scholarship.

## 2.3. Analytical Procedure

The analysis proceeded through thematic and epistemological synthesis. Selected studies were examined to identify recurring conceptual patterns related to: (a) Arabic as a medium of knowledge construction; (b) the linguistic production of meaning, authority, and validity in Qur'anic exegesis; and (c) the epistemic implications of detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic competence. Rather than treating individual studies as isolated units, the analysis focused on how arguments across the literature converge, complement, or contest one another in articulating a coherent epistemological position. Studies were organized analytically into primary conceptual contributions and supporting analytical works to structure the synthesis, without implying a hierarchy of scholarly quality. To clarify the analytical logic guiding this integrative synthesis, the core epistemological dimensions and their corresponding analytical focuses are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Analytical Framework of the Integrative Literature Review

Analytical Dimension	Focus of Analysis	Representative Domains
Knowledge Construction	Arabic as an epistemological medium	Islamic education, epistemology
Meaning Production	Linguistic mechanisms shaping meaning	Semantics, syntax, rhetoric, phonetics
Interpretive Authority	Linguistic basis of validity	Tafsir methodology, grammatical reasoning
Educational Implications	Effects of linguistic mediation	Curriculum, translation, pedagogy

Table 1 outlines the analytical framework guiding the integrative literature review. The four dimensions presented in the table structure the synthesis of the literature and indicate how different strands of scholarship are analytically organized within the study. Specifically, the framework is used to identify how Arabic operates as a medium of knowledge construction, how linguistic mechanisms shape the production of meaning in Qur'anic interpretation, how interpretive authority is grounded in linguistic competence, and how these dynamics generate implications for Islamic educational practices.

## 2.4. Methodological Positioning and Limitations

As a conceptual literature-based study, this research does not aim to generate new empirical findings or claim statistical generalizability. Its contribution lies in conceptual

clarification and epistemological integration, offering a framework for rethinking the role of language in Islamic education and Qur'anic interpretation. While this approach limits empirical scope, it provides a necessary theoretical foundation for future empirical, comparative, or pedagogical research.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Table 2.** Epistemic Functions of Arabic Across Domains

Domain	Linguistic Function	Epistemic Role
Qur'anic Exegesis	Grammar, rhetoric, phonetics	Determining meaning and interpretive validity
Islamic Education	Linguistic schemata	Shaping comprehension and memorization
Translation Practices	Semantic mediation	Interpretive transformation
Institutional Authority	Linguistic competence	Legitimizing interpretation

Table 2 summarizes how Arabic performs distinct epistemic functions across different domains of Islamic scholarship and practice. The table synthesizes insights from the reviewed literature to demonstrate that linguistic structures are not merely descriptive elements of the Arabic language but operate as mechanisms through which meaning, interpretive validity, and institutional authority are established. This synthesis provides a structured basis for the subsequent discussion of how linguistic reasoning shapes Qur'anic exegesis, Islamic education, and translation practices.

#### 3.1. Arabic as an epistemological medium in the construction of Islamic knowledge (RQ1)

The findings of this conceptual synthesis indicate that Arabic functions not merely as a vehicle for transmitting Islamic knowledge but as an epistemological medium through which knowledge is constructed, structured, and validated. Across classical and contemporary scholarship, Arabic is shown to shape the cognitive and interpretive processes by which meaning and authority are produced within Islamic intellectual traditions (Bernikova & Redkin, 2017; Salvaggio, 2024; Hasan, 2023). This challenges instrumental approaches that regard language as interchangeable with translation or as a neutral conduit of pre-existing meaning.

Arabic's epistemological role is grounded in its internal linguistic architecture. Root-based morphology enables relational meaning-making, syntactic flexibility allows semantic prioritization, and rhetorical structures generate inferential meanings beyond explicit textual statements (Abdullah, 2022; Hasan, 2023; Osman, 2016). These linguistic features position Arabic as a productive space of knowledge formation rather than a passive medium. Historical analyses of knowledge transmission further demonstrate that Arabic linguistic mediation has long shaped epistemic exchange across cultures and intellectual contexts (Haoua, 2025).

From this perspective, Islamic knowledge (whether articulated in theology, law, or education) is linguistically situated. It is not simply expressed in Arabic but is formed through sustained engagement with Arabic linguistic structures. Detaching Islamic knowledge from these structures risks epistemic reduction rather than neutral

simplification, as meaning becomes increasingly mediated by non-linguistic authorities or institutional frameworks (Jreis Navarro, 2020).

### **3.2. Linguistic production of meaning, authority, and validity in Qur'anic exegesis (RQ2)**

The analysis further demonstrates that meaning, interpretive authority, and epistemic validity in Qur'anic exegesis are linguistically produced. Qur'anic interpretation operates through layered mechanisms that include semantic expansion, contextual modulation of grammatical norms, rhetorical ellipsis, and phonetic-prosodic effects (Hassanein, 2023; Al-Shloul et al., 2025; Nawaya et al., 2024). Meaning is therefore not reducible to lexical equivalence but emerges from the interaction of multiple linguistic dimensions.

Several studies show that semantic and contextual imperatives may override formal grammatical expectations, indicating that grammatical rules function as interpretive tools rather than rigid constraints (Awda & Alani, 2025). This dynamic allows interpretive plurality while maintaining epistemic discipline and accountability to the Arabic linguistic system.

Detailed grammatical analyses of Qur'anic discourse further reveal how syntactic parsing and *i'rāb* operate as decisive mechanisms in determining interpretive meaning and exegetical validity (Hamdi & Alawi, 2024). In addition, classical and modern discussions of Qur'anic rhetoric demonstrate that brevity (*ijāz*) and verbosity (*iṭnāb*) function as deliberate linguistic strategies that shape meaning, emphasis, and interpretive depth rather than serving as stylistic ornamentation (Ibrahim et al., 2014). Together, these findings underscore that grammatical and rhetorical reasoning are constitutive of interpretive legitimacy.

Interpretive authority, therefore, does not rest solely on theological intention or institutional endorsement, but on linguistic competence. Lexical discipline, sensitivity to rhetorical devices, and attention to sound-meaning relationships collectively determine what counts as a valid interpretation (Sakat et al., 2015). Studies of contemporary interpretive practices further illustrate how linguistic mediation shapes authority and theological contestation in lived contexts (Taufiq & Said, 2025).

### **3.3. Epistemic consequences of detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic competence (RQ3)**

Detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic competence entails epistemic consequences, not merely pedagogical simplification. Empirical studies indicate that Arabic linguistic schemata-mediated comprehension significantly influences understanding and memorization of the Qur'an (Hussin et al., 2021). In diverse educational settings, Arabic learning is also associated with motivation, identity formation, and religious engagement, including in multicultural and multi-religious contexts (Ritonga et al., 2020; Nasution et al., 2025; Sopian et al., 2025).

While translation and multilingual pedagogy broaden access to religious knowledge, they necessarily involve interpretive transformation rather than semantic equivalence (Musaffa, 2025). Overreliance on translated texts may shift epistemic authority away from linguistic reasoning toward institutional mediation, potentially narrowing interpretive

plurality and critical engagement (Akhirudin et al., 2025). Contemporary digital and AI-based Qur'anic applications further amplify this challenge, as algorithmic mediation often operates at a remove from Arabic linguistic reasoning and epistemic accountability (Nasir et al., 2025; Hermawan & Baizal, 2025).

### **3.4. Illustrative insights from non-Arabophone Muslim societies**

Although the argument advanced in this study is not geographically bounded, non-Arabophone Muslim societies serve an illustrative epistemic function. In such contexts, mediation through translation, pedagogy, and institutions renders the epistemological role of language particularly visible. Tensions between Arabic, vernacular languages, and translated texts reveal broader dynamics applicable across multilingual Muslim societies (Bernikova & Redkin, 2017).

Illustrative studies from Indonesia show how translation practices, curricular design, and institutional authority intersect to redefine the relationship between language and knowledge (Musaffa, 2025; Sakat et al., 2015). For instance, research on Qur'anic translation practices in Indonesia demonstrates that translation is not a neutral transfer of meaning but often carries legal and theological implications, as choices of diction may reflect particular schools of Islamic jurisprudence and institutional authority. In some cases, state-sponsored translations of the Qur'an have contributed to the standardization of specific legal interpretations, thereby shaping how religious knowledge is understood by wider Muslim audiences (Musaffa, 2025). At the same time, studies of contemporary Indonesian tafsir highlight that the validity of interpretation remains closely tied to linguistic analysis of Qur'anic vocabulary and classical rules of exegesis, reinforcing the centrality of Arabic linguistic competence in determining interpretive meaning (Sakat et al., 2015). These observations are employed not to advance context-specific claims but to clarify general epistemological patterns concerning language, interpretation, and education.

### **3.5. Integrative implications**

The discussion supports a unified epistemological argument: Arabic constitutes a foundational condition for the construction, interpretation, and transmission of Islamic knowledge. Its role extends beyond symbolism or technical utility to shape meaning, authority, and validity across Qur'anic exegesis and Islamic education. Recognizing Arabic as an epistemological medium does not negate the pedagogical value of translation or multilingual instruction; rather, it clarifies their epistemic limits and situates them within a hierarchy of access to meaning.

## **4. CONCLUSION**

This study has argued that Arabic should be understood not merely as an instrumental medium of communication but as an epistemological foundation of Islamic education and Qur'anic exegesis. Through a conceptual integrative literature review, the study demonstrates that the construction of Islamic knowledge, the production of meaning in Qur'anic interpretation, and the validation of interpretive authority are fundamentally mediated through Arabic linguistic structures.

The analysis highlights three main insights. First, Arabic serves as a foundational epistemic framework for constructing Islamic knowledge rather than simply transmitting it. Second, the linguistic architecture of Arabic—including semantic, syntactic, rhetorical, and phonetic dimensions—plays a decisive role in shaping the production of meaning and interpretive validity in Qur’anic exegesis. Third, detaching Islamic education from Arabic linguistic competence carries significant epistemic consequences, as translation and multilingual mediation inevitably involve interpretive transformation rather than semantic equivalence.

Taken together, these findings clarify that debates about language in Islamic education are not merely pedagogical but fundamentally epistemological. While translation and multilingual engagement remain important for expanding access to religious knowledge, they operate within inherent epistemic limits. Recognizing Arabic as an epistemological foundation, therefore, provides a conceptual framework for rethinking language, interpretation, and authority in contemporary Islamic education and Qur’anic studies.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to express their gratitude to all individuals and institutions that provided intellectual and academic support during the preparation of this article. Appreciation is also extended to the scholars whose works informed the conceptual and epistemological framework of this study. Any remaining errors or limitations are the sole responsibility of the authors.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A.-S. (2022). Fadil Al-Samarraṭi’s contribution to literary and rhetorical exegesis of the Qur’an. *Religions*, 13(2), 180. <https://www.doi.org/10.3390/rel13020180>
- Akhirudin, Buhori, Annas, A., Rahman, A., Kafi, F. A., & Habibie, F. (2025). Language as a catalyst for knowledge and education: An analytical study of Arabic and other living languages. *Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change*, 10(2), 4260–4271. <https://doi.org/10.64753/jcasc.v10i2.2248>
- Al-Shloul, H. M., Talafha, D. K., & Tahat, A. (2025). Phonetic effect on the semantic and interpretive understanding of Al-Baqarah chapter in the Holy Quran. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 7(2), 355–372. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i2.7816>
- Awda, A. S. O., & Alani, A. A. H. M. (2025). Semantic influence on syntactic analysis in the Qur’an: A study of contextual overrides of grammatical norms. *Dragoman*, 19, 202–212. <https://doi.org/10.63132/ati.2025.thebre.9631>
- Bernikova, O., & Redkin, O. (2017). Linguistics and Islamic studies in historical perspective: The case of interdisciplinary communication. *Proceedings of the 8th International Multi-Conference on Complexity, Informatics and Cybernetics (IMCIC 2017)*, 311–315.
- Hamdi, S. A., & Alawi, T. S. (2024). Fundamental issues in the book *Al-Bustan* in the parsing of the problems of the Qur’an by Ibn Al-Ahnaf Al-Yemeni (d. 717 AH). *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(8), 9229–9242. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i8.5540>

- Hasan, M. A. K. (2023). The role of Arabic in Muhammad Abu Zahrah's interpretation of the Qur'an: A study in *Zahrah al-Tafāsīr*. *Jurnal Studi Ilmu-Ilmu Al-Qur'an dan Hadis*, 24(2), 405–424. <https://doi.org/10.14421/QH.V24I2.3670>
- Haoua, F. (2025). The impact of Andalusian cities on the transmission of translated Arab-Islamic heritage to Europe during the Middle Ages (Córdoba and Toledo as models). *Revue d'Histoire Méditerranéenne*, 7(1), 127–143.
- Hermawan, M., & Baizal, Z. K. A. (2025). Qur'anic verse recommender system using hybrid SBERT-TFIDF embedding and LLM-based explanation facility. *Proceedings of the 2025 International Conference on Data Science and Its Applications (ICoDSA)*, 1124–1129. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICoDSA67155.2025.11157113>
- Hassanein, H. (2023). Less form, more meaning: A case study of al-iḥtibāk in the Qur'an through the prism of dependency grammar. *SAGE Open*, 13(4), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440231199921>
- Hussin, Z., Mustapha, N. F., Toklubok@Hajimaming, P., Alias, M., & Ismail, M. Z. (2021). Kepentingan skemata Arab terhadap kefahaman al-Quran dan pencapaian hafazan. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 18(1), 265–287.
- Ibrahim, M., Usman, A. H., & Muhamed Ali, M. A. (2014). Qur'anic brevity and verbosity: What and how? *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(20), 1939–1945. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n20p1939>
- Jreis Navarro, L. M. (2020). Inhabiting the strait: Towards a Euro-African subjectivity in premodern Arabic texts. *Intus-Legere Historia*, 14(1), 217–239.
- Lumbard, J. E. B. (2025). Islam, coloniality, and the pedagogy of cognitive liberation in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 30(6), 1409–1419. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2025.2468974>
- Musaffa, M. U. A. (2025). Language politics and fiqh's authority on Qur'anic reinterpretation in Indonesian translation practices. *Al-Mazaahib: Jurnal Perbandingan Hukum*, 13(1), 114–121. <https://doi.org/10.14421/al-mazaahib.v13i1.4357>
- Nasir, K., Zaman, R. K., Ahmad Hilmi, A. B., Mahadzir, A. H., & Mustafa, A. M. (2025). Integrasi kecerdasan buatan dalam aplikasi mudah alih: Inovasi dan cabaran dalam menyokong hafazan dan murajaah al-Quran. *Quranica: International Journal of Quranic Research, Special Issue*, 14, 612–645.
- Nasution, S., Asari, H., Al-Rasyid, H., Faridah, F., Zulpina, Z., Rangkuti, R. U., & Kawaid, A. I. S. D. (2025). Arabic learning and religious identity among non-Muslim students in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, 13(2), 1497–1526. <https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v13i2.2053>
- Nawaya, F. R., Burhan, A. S., & Hajji, A. E. (2024). Expansion of the meaning and its influence on exegesis of Qur'anic texts. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 6(3), 824–836. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v6i3.6657>
- Osman, R. A. H. (2016). The literary facets of the Qur'an as a guideline for Islamic literary writing. *Al-Shajarah: Journal of the International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization (ISTAC)*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.31436/shajarah.v19i2.319>

- Razaq, A. R., & Umiarso. (2019). Islamic education construction in the perspective of falsification of Karl R. Popper. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 5(2), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.15575/jpi.v5i2.5846>
- Ritonga, M., Asrina, Widayanti, R., Alrasi, F., Julhadi, & Halim, S. (2020). Analysis of Arabic language learning at higher education institutions with multi-religion students. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(9), 4333–4339. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080960>
- Sakat, A. A., Usman, A. H., Masruri, M., Mohd Amin, M. F., Ahmad, M. N., & Abdul Kadir, M. N. (2015). Qur'anic words: An analysis on Shihab's rules of exegesis in *Tafsir Al-Mishbah*. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 6(4S1), 454–461. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n4s1p454>
- Salvaggio, F. (2024). Multilingualism from the perspective of the medieval Islamic vision of language. *Postmedieval: A Journal of Medieval Cultural Studies*, 15(3), 631–655. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41280-024-00329-y>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Sopian, A., Abdurahman, M., 'Ali, M., Tantowi, Y. A., Aeni, A. N., & Maulani, H. (2025). Arabic language learning in a multicultural context at pesantren. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 11(1), 77–89. <https://doi.org/10.15575/jpi.v11i1.44104>
- Taufiq, M., & Said, M. (2025). Qur'anic interpretation among Sasak Muslims across communities, theologies, and ideological conflicts. *Jurnal Studi Ilmu-Ilmu al-Qur'an dan Hadis*, 26(2), 383–412. <https://doi.org/10.14421/qh.v26i2.6287>
- Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing integrative literature reviews: Guidelines and examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, 4(3), 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305278283>
- Zayed, T. M., & al-Mizan, A. (2014). History of emancipatory worldview of Muslim learners. *History of Education & Children's Literature*, 9(2), 623–642.